

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787.065	07/26/2001	Florian Oelmaier	3118	6076
75	90 12/01/2004		EXAM	INER
Ralph H. Doug	gherty & CLEMENTS LLP		AKPATI, O	DAICHE T
Two Fairview C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6230 Fairview F		2135		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
DEC 1 5 2004
Technology Center 2100

				Application	No.	Applicant(s)	<i>C.</i>
				09/787,065		OELMAIER ET AL	
	Offic	Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit	
				Tracey Akp	ati	2135	
		LING DATE of this commun	ication appe			orrespond nc ad	dress
Period fo						a a	
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING [nsions of time SIX (6) MONT period for repl period for rep tre to reply with reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provisions HS from the mailing date of this comm y specified above is less than thirty (3 by is specified above, the maximum st in the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. 30) days, a reply tatutory period w	6(a). In no even within the statute ill apply and will cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status							
1)□	Responsi	ve to communication(s) file	ed on				
2a)□	•			action is no	n-final.		
3)	Since this	application is in condition					e merits is
	closed in	accordance with the pract	ice under E	x parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Cla	ims					
4)⊠	Claim(s)	1-18 is/are pending in the	application.				
,		above claim(s) is/a			sideration.		
5)□	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s)	<u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
		is/are objected to.	,				
8)□	Claim(s)	are subject to restri	iction and/o	r election re	quirement.		
Applicat	tion Papeı	·*S					
9)□	The spec	ification is objected to by t	he Examine	er.			
	The draw	ing(s) filed on 12 March 20	<u>001</u> is/are:	a) accept	ed or b) objected	to by the Examine	er.
,	Applicant	may not request that any obj	ection to the	drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacem	nent drawing sheet(s) includir	ng the correct	tion is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 C	
11)	The oath	or declaration is objected	to by the Ex	caminer. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority	under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
а)⊠ All b 1.⊠ Ce 2.□ Ce 3.□ Ce	edgment is made of a clain of Some * c) None of: entified copies of the priorit opies of the priorit opies of the certified copies of the certified copies oplication from the Internat trached detailed Office act	y document y document s of the prio ional Burea	s have been ts have been rity docume u (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this Nationa	ıl Stage
2) Not 3) Info	ice of Refere ice of Draftsp ormation Disc	nces Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of I Date 5/21/2001	(PTO-948) or PTO/SB/08))	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	ГО-152)

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mooney et al (6351813 B1).

With respect to Claim 1, the limitation of "a device (10) for supplying output data (14) in reaction to input data comprising an electronic circuit (16) for executing an algorithm so as to generate the output data (12) on the basis of the input data (14)" on column 1, lines 59-67; and "a unit (18) for detecting operational data of the electronic circuit (16) which are influenced by an operation of said electronic circuit (16) when said electronic circuit (16) executes the algorithm, the operational data depending on the input data" on column 2, lines 36-41; and "said operational data detection unit (18) being coupled to the electronic circuit (16) in such a way that the detected operational data of the electronic circuit are used by the algorithm, which is executed by said electronic circuit (16), for generating the output data (12), whereby the authenticity of the device (10) is determined on the basis of the output data" on column 3, lines 30-46. The smart card represents the electronic circuit while the smartcard reader represents the unit for detecting operational data of the electronic circuit.

Art Unit: 2135

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the smartcard represent the electronic circuit because a smart card has a built-in electronic circuit though which output data is generated based on its input data.

With respect to Claim 2, the limitation of "wherein the operational data are selected from the group comprising time data and power data" is met on column 8, lines 47-52, 62-64. For the smartcard to operate it needs either an internal or external power source. Without a power source input to the smartcard, it would be inoperable.

With respect to Claim 3, the limitation of "wherein the electronic circuit (16) and the detection unit (18) are integrated as a unit" is met on column 6, lines 45-49.

With respect to Claim 4, the limitation of "which is contained in a smart card or in a PC card" is met on column 3, lines 42-44.

With respect to Claim 5, the limitation of "wherein the electronic circuit (16) is arranged so as to execute an cryptoalgorithm" is met on column 3, lines 61-67 and on column 4, lines 1-4.

With respect to Claim 6, the limitation of "wherein the electronic circuit (16) is arranged so as to execute a check sum algorithm" is met on column 4, lines 66-67, column 5, lines 1-7.

Art Unit: 2135

With respect to Claim 7, the limitation of "wherein the cryptoalgorithm is a multi-step algorithm, the operational data of one algorithm step being used as input data for the subsequent algorithm step" is met on column 3, lines 61-67 and on column 4, lines 1-4.

With respect to Claim 8, the limitation of "wherein the electronic circuit (16) is arranged so as to stop the operation after a predetermined execution time during execution of the algorithm and wherein the detection unit (18) is arranged so as to feed operational data into the algorithm at said predetermined execution time" is met on column 8, lines 62-66.

With respect to Claim 9, the limitation of "wherein the algorithm is of such a nature that it will first randomize the input data (14), whereby the dependence of the operational data on the input data will be pseudo-random" is met on column 3, lines 64-67.

With respect to Claim 10, the limitation of "wherein the output data generated by the algorithm are only the operational data" on column 3, lines 30-46.

With respect to Claim 11, the limitation of "wherein the electronic circuit (16) comprises two sub-circuits (16a, 16b) which each execute a sub-algorithm, the first sub-algorithm being a test algorithm whose operational data are detected by the detection unit (18), and the second sub-algorithm being a cryptoalgorithm or a check sum algorithm, the operational data of the test algorithm being processed in the cryptoalgorithm" is met on column 3, lines 52-54, 64-67; column 4, lines 1-2, 66-67; column 5, lines 1-7; and column 7, lines 6-12.

Art Unit: 2135

With respect to Claim 12, the limitation of "wherein the second sub-circuit (16a) is arranged so as to execute the DES algorithm which comprises n steps, and wherein the first sub-circuit (16b) is arranged so as to execute a test algorithm which also comprises n steps, the input data being adapted to be fed into the first step of the DES algorithm as well as into the first step of the test algorithm, and data which are adapted to be fed into a further step of the DES algorithm being result data of the first step of the DES algorithm and operational data of the first step of the test algorithm, whereas a result of one step of the test algorithm is rejected" is met on column 5, lines 22-40.

With respect to Claim 16, the limitation of "wherein the operational data detection unit (18) comprises a pattern recognition algorithm so as to produce the operational data from power or time parameters of the electronic circuit (16)" is met on column 8, lines 57-61.

With respect to Claim 17, the limitation of "a method for checking the authenticity of a device to be tested in comparison with an examination device, the device to be tested and the examination device each comprising an electronic circuit (16) for executing an algorithm, which generates output data (12) on the basis of input data (14), and a unit (18) for detecting operational data which are influenced by an operation of the electronic circuit (16) and which depend on the input data, the operational data detection unit (18) of the device to be tested as well as of the examination device being coupled to the electronic circuit (16) in such a way that the operational data of the electronic circuit are used by the algorithm for producing the output

Art Unit: 2135

data" is similar to Claim 1 and hence has been rejected above. Further limitation of "selecting (40) input data, feeding (42) said input data into the device (10) to be tested" is met on column 7, lines 6-9; and "in the device to be tested executing the algorithm by the electronic circuit of the device to be tested, so as to generate the output data on the basis of the input data" is met on column 7, lines 9-13; and "detecting operational data of the electronic circuit, which are influenced by an operation of said electronic circuit when said electronic circuit executes the algorithm, said operational data depending on the input data" is met on column 2, lines 36-41; and "said detected operational data of the electronic circuit being used by the algorithm, which is executed by said electronic circuit (16), so as to generate the output data (12)" is met on column 4, lines 2-9; and "feeding (42) the input data into the examination device (10)" is met on column 4, lines 2-4; and "in the examination device executing the algorithm by the electronic circuit of the examination device so as to generate the output data on the basis of the input data" on column 3, lines 61-67; and column 4, lines 1-2; and "detecting operational data of the electronic circuit, which are influenced by an operation of the electronic circuit when said electronic circuit executes the algorithm, said operational data depending on the input data, and said detected operational data of the electronic circuit being used by the algorithm, which is executed by said electronic circuit (16), so as to generate the output data (12)" is met on column 4, lines 2-9; and "comparing (44) the output data of the device to be tested with the output data of the examination device; and affirming (46) the authenticity of the device to be tested in comparison with the examination device if the output data correspond to one another, in such a way that authenticity will only be affirmed if the operational data of the device to be tested and of the examination device correspond to one another" is met on column 5, lines 36-45.

Art Unit: 2135

With respect to Claim 18, the limitation of "a method for encrypted transmission of information from a first to a second location, the second location being remote from the first location" is met on column 6, lines 50-53 and Fig. 11; and "producing (50) a random word" is met on column 5, lines 45-47; and "feeding (52) the random word into a first device implemented according to one of the claims 1-16 and arranged at the first location" is met on column 6, lines 48-54; and "generating (54) the output data of the second device, which depend on the operational data of said first device, by executing an algorithm by the electronic circuit of said first device so as to generate the output data on the basis of the input data, operational data of the electronic circuit being detected, which are influenced by an operation of the electronic circuit when said electronic circuit executes the algorithm, said operational data depending on the input data, and said detected operational data of the electronic circuit being used by the algorithm, which is executed by the electronic circuit, so as to generate the output data" is met on column 5, lines 66-67, and on column 6, lines 1-23; and "encrypting (56) the information with the generated output data as a key" is met on column 6, lines 24-30, 34-37; and "transmitting (58) the encrypted information and the random word from said first location to said second location" is met on column 6, lines 40-44; and "feeding (62) the random word into a second device implemented according to one of the claims 1-16 and positioned at the second location; and "generating (64) the output data of the second device, which depend on the operational data of said second device, by executing the algorithm by the electronic circuit of said second device, so as to generate the output data on the basis of the input data, operational data of the electronic circuit being detected, which are influenced by an operation of the electronic circuit when said

Art Unit: 2135

electronic circuit executes the algorithm, said operational data depending on the input data, and said detected operational data of the electronic circuit being used by the algorithm, which is executed by the electronic circuit, so as to generate the output data, and decrypting (66) the encrypted information making use of the output data of the second device as a key; and the decrypted information corresponding to the original information prior to encrypting if the operational data of the first device at the first location correspond to the operational data of the second device at the second location" is met on column 6, lines 44-49.

Claims 13, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mooney et al (6351813 B1) in view of Walsh et al (6144848).

With respect to Claim 13, Mooney et al meets the limitation of "wherein the operational data detection unit comprises a time measuring means (18a) for measuring the time which the electronic circuit (16) needs for executing a specific task" on column 8, lines 47-52, 62-64.

Mooney et al however does not meet the following limitation.

The limitation of "a power measuring means (18b) for measuring the power consumed when said specific task is being executed" is met by Walsh et al in Claim 32.

It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of
Walsh et al within the system of Mooney et al because measuring the level of power consumed
allows for the system to determine if/when to recharge the electronic circuit.

With respect to Claim 14, Mooney et al meets all the limitation except for the following limitation.

Art Unit: 2135

The limitation of "wherein the power measuring means (18b) comprises a resistor, a capacitor and an analog-digital converter for measuring the power consumed" is met by Walsh et al in Claim 33.

It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Walsh et al within the system of Mooney et al because measuring the level of power consumed allows for the system to determine if/when to recharge the electronic circuit.

With respect to Claim 15, Mooney et al meets the limitation of "wherein the time measuring means comprises an internal clock generator" on column 8, lines 47-52, 62-64.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracey Akpati whose telephone number is 571-272-3846. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-6.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2135

OTA

Page 10

1011 E 403
MAY 2 1 2007 2
Please type a plus sign (-) inside this box
Under the Paperws Reduction And of 1995, no perso

PTC/SB/0BA (09-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	or form 1449A/F		. Son's Be requeed to r	Complete if Known			
INFO	SEATIO	N DICC		Application Number	09/787-065		
			LOSURE	Filing Date	03-12-01		
STAT	EMENT	BY AP	PLICANT	First Named Inventor	OELMAIER et al.		
				Group Art Unit			
(U:	se as many s	heels as ne	cessary)	Examiner Name			
Sheet	1	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	3118		

				U.S. PATENT DOC	JMENTS	
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	U.S. Paten Number	Kind Code ² (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
		 				·
				 		·····
		··				,
		 				
					<u></u>	
						
					<u> </u>	
 i						
		···				
						
∤						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					 	

					•	FOR	EIGN PATENT DOCUMENT	rs	<u> </u>	
Examiner Initials	Cite No.'	Office ³		oreign P Num	atent Doc ber	ument Kind Code ^s (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Te
OA		EP	0	313	967	A	GAO Ges Auto. Org	05-03-99		Т
SA		EP	0	654	919		Siemens	05-24-95		
		╁╌╁								╀
										十
		\square								oxdot
		 								┼
		┼╌┤								+
										+

Examiner Signature	Oate Considered 11/16/04	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ² See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁸ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Please type a

PT /SB/088 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE work Residues Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperwork

Substitu	te for form 1449B/	PTO		Complete if Known			
		-		Application Number	09/787,065	_	
INFO	DRMATIC	ON DI	SCLOSURE	Filing Date	03-12-01		
STA	TEMENT	T BY	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	OELMAIER et al.		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		. –		Group Art Unit			
	(use as mai	ny sheels a	is necessary)	Examiner Name		_	
Sheet	2	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	3118		

	OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	τ³			
510	•	W. RANKL & W. EFFING: "Handbuch der Chipkarten" 2-10-98, Carl hanser Verlag, Munchen Wien XP002127583 022759.				
<u>-</u>						
	-					
·						
!						
Examin Signatu		Date Considered 11/16/04	0			

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

¹ Unique citation designation number. 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/787,065 Examiner Tracey Akpati U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Applicant(s)/Patent Under R xamination OELMAIER ET AL. Art Unit Page 1 of 1

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,351,813	02-2002	Mooney et al.	713/185
	В	US-6,144,848	11-2000	Walsh et al.	455/419
	C	US-			
	۵	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-		·	
	L.	US-			,
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N			·		
	0					
	Р	·				
	Q	,		·		
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.